UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,101	02/04/2004	Jacques Seguin	CVALVE.006CP1	6184
	7590 11/01/2007 BE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			
2040 MAIN ST	REET	SCHILLINGER, ANN M		
FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,	·		3774	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/01/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/772,101	SEGUIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ann Schillinger	3774				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN OF THE MORE OF THE M	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 A	<u>ugust 2007</u> .					
<u> </u>	·—					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	:x parte Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 111-149 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 111-149 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: <u>Attachment</u>	Pate Patent Application				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 137 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In lines 3-4, it is unclear if the leaflet claimed belongs to the cardiac valve or the cardiac valve support.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 11-116, 118-124, 127-131, 133-146, 148, and 149 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Garrison et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0151970). Garrison et al. discloses the following regarding claim 111: a prosthetic cardiac valve (6) with a base (40, 26, 28, 30, 31, 34), a plurality of commissure points (see Figure 10, 32), and a plurality of resilient leaflets (paragraph 0061) (Figure 10). Garrison et al. also discloses a collapsible prosthetic cardiac valve support (8; paragraph 0057), with a first and a second portion (Attachment A), and a first and a second section. The first section expands to a diameter less than first portion (see Figure 8). Please note that functional language is used throughout the claims, and in order to be given patentable weight, a functional recitation must be supported by recitation in the claim of

sufficient structure to warrant the presence of the functional language. *In re Fuller*, 1929 C.D. 172; 388 O.G. 279.

Garrison et al. discloses limitations of claims 112, 113, 119, 120, and 149 the radial restraint comprising a wire (see Figure 7; paragraph 0058, lines 10-13).

Garrison et al. discloses the limitations of claims 114 and 115 as shown in Figures 9 and 27-33.

Garrison et al. discloses the limitations of claim 116 in paragraph 0069.

Garrison et al. discloses the anchor of claims 118 and 148 in paragraph 0080.

Garrison et al. discloses the following regarding claim 121: the prosthetic cardiac valve assembly of Claim 15, wherein at least one portion of the single length of wire has a reduced thickness to decrease the radial expansion force (see Figure 7, where the wire shown in capable of decreasing the radial expansion force). With this claim language, Applicant is not disclosing that the wire's thickness varies within the same length of the wire.

Garrison et al. discloses the limitations of claim 122 in Figure 7 and paragraph 0058.

Garrison et al. discloses the limitations of claim 123 and 139 in paragraph 0080.

Garrison et al. discloses the limitations of claim 124 in paragraph 0069.

Garrison et al. discloses the limitations of claim 127, 133, 138, and 146 in paragraph 0080.

Garrison et al. discloses the limitations of claim 128, 136, 140, and 144 in paragraph 0012.

Garrison et al. discloses the limitations of claim 129, 134, and 141 in paragraph 0069.

For claims 130, 131, 142 Garrison et al. discloses a ring stent (111), see Figure 37.

Garrison et al. discloses the limitations of claim 135 and 143 in paragraphs 0008 and 0009.

Garrison et al. discloses the following regarding claim 137: a prosthetic cardiac valve assembly (6, 8) with an axial cardiac valve support portion (8). The support portion has a second section, and at least one radial restraint (see Figure 7; paragraph 0058, lines 10-13) at a first section (see Attachment A). Please also see paragraphs 0058-0080.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 117 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garrison et al. et al. in view of Schwartz et al. (US Pub. No. 2002/0099439). Garrison et al. discloses the claimed invention except for a drug-eluting component that is included with the valve. Schwartz et al. teaches including such a compound with the valve to prevent cell overgrowth or extracellular matrix production in the same field of endeavor (paragraphs 0014, 0023, 0083). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include these drug-eluding compounds with the valve to prevent cell overgrowth or extracellular matrix production.

Claim 125 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garrison et al. in view of Kocur (US Patent No. 6,350,277). Garrison et al. does not disclose the use of a shape memory material. However, Kocur teaches the use of nitinol in a stent (col. 6, lines 36-39) that

Art Unit: 3774

will assist the prosthetic valve to better fit in the area where it is replacing the deficient native valve. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a shape memory material such as nitinol to assist the prosthetic valve to better fit in the area where it is replacing the deficient native valve.

Claim 126 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garrison et al. in view of Shaolian et al. (US Patent No. 6,299,637). Garrison et al. does not disclose the use of a cuff constraint component. Shaolian et al. teaches the use of a cuff in the same field of endeavor in elements 50, 52, 54; col. 6, lines 30 through col. 7, lines 5 for the purpose of providing radial support. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use cuff constraint component in order to provide radial support.

Claims 132 and 147 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garrison et al. in view of Kocur (US Patent No. 6,350,277). Garrison et al. discloses the use of a stent with the valve system, but does not disclose all of the specific features of the stent as described by the applicant. Kocur discloses the valve assembly further composing a stent configured to reduce the recoil of the support portion following self-expansion of the support portion (col. 3, lines 16-26). And Kocur discloses the valve assembly wherein the stent is configured to reside outside the valve support portion when deployed (col. 6, lines 56-60). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a stent configured to these specifications to allow the stent to work more efficiently with the prosthetic valve system.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,101 Page 6

Art Unit: 3774

Response to Arguments

In view of the new claims submitted by the Applicant, the Applicant's arguments with

respect to the previous claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of

rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ann Schillinger whose telephone number is (571) 272-6652. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon. thru Fri. 9 a.m. to 4 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on-access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ann Schillinger October 28, 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Art Unit: 3774

Attachment A

